Projects for opening of Tourism Centres

†1521. PROF. M.M. AGARWAL: Will the Minister of TOURISM AND CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the district-wise details of the tourism related projects for different States in the country;

(b) the project-wise/district-wise number of projects that have been completed out of the above; and

(c) the details of the forthcoming schemes to open various tourist centres in the States and districts in the country alongwith the names of such States and districts?

THE MINISTER OF TOURISM AND CULTURE (SHRI JAGMOHAN): (a) For tourism projects State is treated as a unit and district-wise details are not complied by the Department of Tourism.

(b) and (c) Tourism projects are sanctiond on the basis of field visits and consultations with the State Governments/UT Administrations.This is an ongoing process. Stae-wise details of projects sanctioned during the 9th Five Year Plan and 10th Five Year Plan (upto 29.11.03) are given in Statement-I and II respectively.

Statement-I

State-wise Central Financial Assistance sanctioned/released during 9th Plan (as on 1.10.2003)

(Rs. in Lakhs)

s. No	State/UT	Project sancd.	Amt. Sancd.	Amt. Reld	Projects completed	Projects incomplete	Projects dropped
1.	Andhra Pradesh	53	1170.35	916.86	40	9	4
2.	Assam	62	1840.03	789.29	28	13	21
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	45	1084.60	589.11	7	34	4
4.	Bihar	44	912.68	415.19	14	27	3
5.	Chattisgarh	7	155.28	60.75	2	5	0
6.	Goa	52	936.45	482.70	27	22	3

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

s No	SteteUT	Project sanod	Ant sanod	AmtReld.	Piqeds ccmpteted	Piqeds nxmptete	Piqeds dq$Bd
7.	Gujarat	64	1653.75	729.95	17	47	0
8.	Haryana	39	933.85	614.92	24	5	10
9.	Himachal Pradesh	63	1680.22	1067.5	28	29	6
10.	J&K	47	1338.06	990.56	21	25	1
11.	Jharkhand	8	286.49	139.14	1	7	0
12.	Karnataka	87	2163.02	1630.61	37	51	0
13.	Kerala	66	3124.66	1925.59	41	25	.0
14.	Madhya Pradesh	68	1580.41	839.51	21	37	10
15.	Maharashtra	80	3098.52	2155.87	43	35	2
16.	Manipur	40	1338.36	402.77	3	37	0
17.	Meghalaya	26	492.36	173.61	2	24	0
18.	Mizoram	47	1027.46	907.68	37	9	1
19.	Nagaland	42	824.01	665.79	36	5	1
20.	Orissa	62	1236.07	713.01	16	29	17
21.	Punjab	30	690.16	378.68	19	3	17
22.	Rajasthan	72	1164.79	721.95	26	41	5 .
23.	Sikkim	77	852.43	643.60	65	12	0
24.	Tamil Nadu	75	1579.39	803.69	27	41	7
25.	Tripura	41	1084.28	746.52	19	22	0
26.	Uttaranchal	10	135.70	74.23	3	7	0
27.	Uttar Pradesh	104	2231.91	1269.56	30	67	7
28.	West Bengal	63	1186.24	689.18	28	34	1
29.	Andaman & Nicobar	7	256.65	139.07	3	2	2
30.	Chandigarh	14	150.86	97.86	13	1	0
31.	Dadar & Nagar Haveli	6	66.90	21.85	1	5	0
32.	Delhi	32	550.95	389.71	23	6	2
33.	Daman & Diu	5	65.17	18.75	0	4	1
34.	Lakshadweep	3	51.00	21.40	3	0	0
35.	Pondicherry	22	319.33	163.63	7	14	1
	TOTAL:	1563	37262.39	22390.14	712	734	117

Statement-II

State-wise projects sanctioned during Tenth Plan 2002-03 and 2003-04 (upto 29.11.2003)

S.No.	State/UT	No. of Project Sanctioned	Amt. Sanctione
\.	Andhra Pradesh	4	1057.50
2.	Assam	11	923.59
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	8	198.84
4.	Bihar	8	505.00
5.	Chhattisgarh	11	365.00
6.	Goa	1	0.50
7.	Gujarat	4	216.12
8.	Haryana	14	890.74
9.	Himachal Pradesh	32	844.32
10.	J&K	5	864.38
11.	Jharkhand	1	393.00
12.	Kamataka	9	1206.49
13.	Kerala	13	926.36
14.	Madhya Pradesh	24	947.38
15.	Maharashtra	12	1302.76
16.	Manipur	3	87.68
17.	Meghalaya	4	71.85
18.	Mizoram	9	347.01
19.	Nagaland	7	816.50
20.	Orissa	5	452.05
21.	Punjab	3	23
22.	Rajasthan	22	2576.51
23.	Sikkim	17	896.74
24.	Tamilnadu	11	1392.55
25.	Tripura	8	258.34
26.	Uttaranchal	4	550.30
27.	Uttar Pradesh	6	795.80
28.	West Bengal	13	779.42
29.	Andaman & Nicobar	0	0

S.No.	State/UT	No. of Project Sanctioned	Amt. Sanctioned
30.	Chandigarh	4	12.75
31.	Dadar & Nagar Haveli	2	8.07
32.	Delhi	21	1231.07
33.	Daman & Diu	4	314.57
34.	Lakshadweep	0	0
35.	Pondicherry	3	253.04
	TOTAL:	303	21509.23

Misting of metal finials from the Domes of Red Fort

1522. SHRI SANJAY NIRUPAM:
SHRI ABANI ROY:

Will the Minister of TOURISM AND CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some metal finials from the domes of Red Fort are missing;

(b) if so, the details in this regard;

(c) whether Government have constituted any Committee to find out the missing finials; if so, the details thereof; and

(d) what measures have been initiated for recovering and reinstalling the lost finials?

THE MINISTER FOR TOURISM AND CULTURE (SHRI JAGMOHAN): (a) and (b) The hollow metal finials at the seven marble domes of Delhi Gate of the Red Fort have not been in existence since before"2000.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) The Archaeological Survey of India intends to restore the nonexistent metal finials while carrying out the restoration of Delhi Gate, as per their original, design and material.